

Moose Creek



Fairbanks North Star Borough | Alaska

INFORMATION TO PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Exposure Assessment

Appendix A, B, and C



National Center
for Environmental Health
Agency for Toxic Substances
and Disease Registry

Appendix A: Additional Tables

Table A1. Dust sample results from Moose Creek, AK EA compared to results from other U.S. studies (nanograms per gram)

PFAS	Moose Creek EA			Fraser et al. (2013) Household Dust—MA*		Karásková et al. (2016) Household Dust—U.S.†		Wu et al. (2015) Household Dust—CA Homes with Young Children‡		Wu et al. (2015) Household Dust—CA Homes with Older Adults Only‡		Scher et al. (2018) Household Dust—MN§	
	RL	GM	Range	GM	Range	Median	Range	GM	Range	GM	Range	Median	Range
PFBS	0.183–3.33	NA	ND–2.38	NA	4.98–4.98	0.9	<0.73 [¶] –2.6	—	—	—	—	<5	<5–58
PFPeS	0.184–3.34	NA	ND–2.37	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
PFHxS	0.183–3.33	2.55	ND–46.9	NA	6.05–430	8.7	1.4–84.4	3.47	ND**–7,490	3.77	ND**–1,050	18	<5–790
PFHpS	0.183–3.33	NA	ND–2.35	—	—	<0.42 [¶]	<0.42 [¶] –2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
PFOS	0.183–3.33	8.35	3.01–28.2	26.9	14.1–280	14.1	5.7–239	29.0	ND**–6,670	34.6	ND**–1,040	67	8.4–2,000
PFDS	0.183–3.33	NA	ND–13.6	—	—	2.8	0.5–9.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
PFDoS	0.183–3.33	NA	ND–2.35	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
PFBA	0.743–13.3	NA	ND–9.40	13.9	4.89–999	—	—	—	—	—	—	24	<5–200
PFPeA	0.367–6.67	NA	ND–4.72	NA	5.39–249	1.7	<0.76 [¶] –24.8	—	—	—	—	6.2	<5–66
PFHxA	0.207–3.33	2.56	ND–15.2	8.65	4.85–1,380	6.5	2.5–190	—	—	—	—	29	5.4–240
PFHpA	0.183–3.33	2.23	ND–21.3	12.0	4.93–586	3.6	0.9–86.7	—	—	—	—	23	<5–260
PFOA	0.183–3.33	4.06	ND–23.5	23.7	5.71–894	9.0	2.9–318	41.4	ND**–2,360	45.0	ND**–728	51	9.9–970
PFNA	0.183–3.53	3.06	ND–11.4	10.9	6.21–1,420	3.9	1.1–62.9	13.3	ND**–1,910	14.7	ND**–883	26	<5–450
PFDA	0.183–3.33	1.98	ND–6.36	NA	6.97–26.8	1.8	0.4–64.0	8.51	ND**–2,520	7.76	ND**–355	13	<5–370
PFUnA	0.183–3.33	1.07	ND–4.83	NA	10.8–39.4	1.2	<1.06 [¶] –13.1	—	—	—	—	7.2	<5–67
PFDoA	0.183–3.33	1.42	ND–22.2	NA	5.09–13.3	0.6	<0.72 [¶] –9.0	—	—	—	—	8.2	<6.5–190
PFTrA	0.183–3.33	NA	ND–4.00	NA	10.3–10.3	ND [¶]	ND [¶] –2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—

PFAS	Moose Creek EA			Fraser et al. (2013) Household Dust—MA*		Karásková et al. (2016) Household Dust—U.S.†		Wu et al. (2015) Household Dust—CA Homes with Young Children‡		Wu et al. (2015) Household Dust—CA Homes with Older Adults Only‡		Scher et al. (2018) Household Dust—MN§	
	RL	GM	Range	GM	Range	Median	Range	GM	Range	GM	Range	Median	Range
PFTA	0.183–3.33	NA	ND–12.8	NA	11.2–11.2	0.8	<1.15 [¶] –3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
PFOSA	0.183–3.33	NA	ND–2.35	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N-MeFOSA	0.211–3.83	NA	ND–2.71	—	—	0.6	0.6–0.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
MeFOSAA	0.183–3.33	1.83	ND–18.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N-MeFOSE	1.83–33.3	17.1	ND–145	NA	18–488	1.0	<0.57 [¶] –9.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
EtFOSAA	0.183–3.33	2.92	ND–13.4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N-EtFOSE	1.37–24.9	NA	ND–22.0	NA	12.2–3,280	<0.34 [¶]	<0.34 [¶] –93.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
FtS 6:2	0.661–12	12.2	2.4–81.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
FtS 8:2	0.734–13.3	NA	ND–17.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

RL = reporting limit, GM = geometric mean, ng/g = nanograms per gram, NA = not applicable (i.e., too few detected results to calculate a GM), ND = not detected, — = PFAS was not measured as part of the study

* This study evaluated dust samples collected from homes, offices, and vehicles in the greater Boston, Massachusetts, area between January and March of 2009. This table presents results for dust samples collected in the main living areas of 30 homes.

† This study evaluated dust samples collected from living rooms and bedrooms from homes in Canada, the Czech Republic, and the United States during the spring and summer of 2013. The results presented in this table are from the 14 homes in the United States.

‡ As part of this study, dust samples were collected between 2007 and 2009 from carpet or area rugs in the main living areas of homes in California with and without young children residing in the home. This table presents results separately for dust samples collected in the 82 homes with young children and the 42 homes with older adults only.

§ As part of this study, dust samples were collected between July and September 2010 from 19 homes located in cities with PFAS-contaminated drinking water in Minnesota. Samples were collected at each home from an entryway to the yard as well as in an interior living space (e.g., family room, living room). The results presented in this table are for dust samples collected in interior living spaces only.

¶ Value was less than author-specified method detection limit. For this study, method detection limits varied because they were defined as mean concentration of procedural blanks plus three times the standard deviation of blank response. Values included in this table represent the upper bound of the method detection limit for a given PFAS, unless noted by “ND” (i.e., for PFTTrA). For PFTTrA, the upper bound method detection limit was greater than the maximum detected value. For PFTTrA, the method detection limits ranged from 0.48 to 2.32 ng/g. ** Reporting limits for dust not specified in Wu et al. (2015).

Table A2. Comparison values for PFAS measured in blood from other exposure assessments

PFAS/Population	Reference	Geometric Mean for Blood (µg/L)
PFHxS		
Manufacturing Workers, Decatur, AL	Olsen et al. 2003	180.0
Moose Creek EA[†]	This EA	11.7
Montgomery and Bucks Counties, PA	PA DOH 2019	6.6
Decatur, AL	ATSDR 2013	6.4
Little Hocking Water Association, OH	Frisbee et al. 2009	5.7*
Portsmouth, NH	NH DHHS 2016	4.1
Westhampton Beach/Quogue Area, NY	NYDOH 2019	3.0
General U.S. Population (NHANES 1999/2000)	CDC 2019	2.1
General U.S. Population (NHANES 2015/2016)	CDC 2019	1.2
PFOS		
Manufacturing Workers, Decatur, AL	Olsen et al. 2003	941.0
Decatur, AL	ATSDR 2013	39.8
General U.S. Population (NHANES 1999/2000)	CDC 2019	30.4
Little Hocking Water Association, OH	Frisbee et al. 2009	23.5*
Moose Creek EA[†]	This EA	18.3
Montgomery and Bucks Counties, PA	PA DOH 2019	10.2
Portsmouth, NH	NH DHHS 2016	8.6
Westhampton Beach/Quogue Area, NY	NYDOH 2019	6.6
General U.S. Population (NHANES 2015/2016)	CDC 2019	4.7
PFOA		
Manufacturing Workers, Decatur, AL	Olsen et al. 2003	899.0
Little Hocking Water Association, OH	Frisbee et al. 2009	227.6*
Decatur, AL	ATSDR 2013	16.3
General U.S. Population (NHANES 1999/2000)	CDC 2019	5.2
Montgomery and Bucks Counties, PA	PA DOH 2019	3.1
Portsmouth, NH	NH DHHS 2016	3.1
Moose Creek EA[†]	This EA	2.1
General U.S. Population (NHANES 2015/2016)	CDC 2019	1.6
Westhampton Beach/Quogue Area, NY	NYDOH 2019	1.5

µg/L = micrograms per liter

* The study reported medians instead of geometric means.

† Unadjusted geometric means from the Moose Creek, AK EA are included in this table for comparison.

Appendix B: Additional Background Statistics

As described in the main body of this report, all statistical analyses (e.g., correlations, geometric means, univariate linear regression models, multivariate linear regression models) were completed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) following the methods outlined in the study protocol. Several key details on these methods are provided below.

- Consistent with NHANES methodology and per the EA protocol, all non–detect observations were substituted with a value equal to the LOD divided by the square root of 2. Geometric means were not reported for PFAS with 40% or more non–detect observations. Additional information on the effect of this substitution method is provided below.
- Geometric means, 95% confidence intervals around geometric means, and percentiles were calculated with the SURVEYMEANS procedure in SAS. In this procedure, percentiles are based on the population cumulative distribution function.
- Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were conducted with the SURVEYREG procedure in SAS. Multivariate regressions were conducted using a backwards stepwise approach. “Interactions” were only considered when there was a suspected relationship between two variables. Due to the skewed distribution of PFAS blood levels, log transformed (\log_{10}) values were used as dependent variables in all linear regression analyses.
- For this EA, all eligible residents within the sampling frame were invited to participate. This means a single household may have multiple participants. To account for the one–stage cluster sampling design used for this EA, household IDs were assigned to each participant. All statistics were calculated while accounting for clustering at the household level by including this household ID variable in a CLUSTER statement in SAS survey procedures. Additional information on the effect of clustering is provided below.
- A finite population correction was applied by including the total number of households in the sampling frame in a TOTAL statement in the SAS survey procedures. For this EA, a total of 317 households were identified within the sampling frame. A finite population correction corrects the standard errors when sampling without replacement from a finite population and is recommended when sample size is greater than 5% of the population being sampled.
- A p–value of less than 0.05 was used to identify statistically significant associations in regression models and 95% confidence limits were provided for all estimated geometric means.
- Age–adjusted statistics were calculated using the POSTSTRATA statement in the PROC SURVEYREG procedure in SAS. For age adjustments to the sampling frame population, the number of people in the sampling frame for each 5–year age interval (5–9 years, 10–15 years, etc.) was calculated from census block data from 2010 and was used as poststratum totals (`_PSTOTAL_`). Similarly, for age–adjustments to the NHANES population, estimates of the U.S. population in each age category starting from 12–14 years and increasing by 5–year age intervals (15–19 years, etc. through 80+) were calculated.

Additional details on non–detect observations

As noted, all results reported below the LOD were substituted with a value equal to the LOD divided by the square root of 2. For blood, all PFAS and all samples were reported from the laboratory with an LOD of 0.1 $\mu\text{g/L}$, and non–detect observations were therefore substituted with a value equal to 0.071 $\mu\text{g/L}$. The same method was applied to urine results (LOD=0.1 $\mu\text{g/L}$) and dust (LOD varies by PFAS and

sample); only the frequency of detection and range of detected values were computed for tap water for this EA due to low detection frequency.

The study protocol also notes that a sensitivity analysis of aggregate PFAS blood data should be performed using other statistical methods to account for censoring. More specifically, for datasets in which less than 50% of the data are censored (i.e., not detected), the Kaplan–Meier method should be used to calculate summary statistics; and for data sets with between 50% and 80% censored results, maximum likelihood estimation should be used. Only high sample percentiles should be reported for data sets with more than 80% censoring. Given that no nationally representative comparison values using these methods are available, results of this sensitivity analyses should only be used as a comparison to results obtained using the simpler substitution method described above.

Based on these criteria, ATSDR compared geometric means for all PFAS measured in blood (except Sb–PFOA) using the two alternate substitution methods. As shown in Table B1, there is minimal difference in geometric mean estimates when using these methods, and alternative substitution methods would therefore have no effect on the conclusions of this report. This is expected for these data due to the single censoring threshold for all PFAS and blood samples [Helsel 2009].

Table B1. Comparison of geometric mean blood levels with various substitution methods

PFAS	Geometric Mean Calculated with LOD/Square Root of 2 (µg/L)	Geometric Mean Calculated with Kaplan Meier Approach (µg/L)	Geometric Mean Calculated with Maximum Likelihood Estimation (µg/L)
PFHxS	11.65	12.31	12.04
n–PFOS	12.05	12.05	12.06
sm–PFOS	6.07	6.07	6.09
n–PFOA	2.03	2.03	2.03
sb–PFOA	NA*	NA*	NA*
PFNA	0.32	0.33	0.32
PFDA	0.11	0.13	0.10
PFUnA	0.09	0.12	0.08
MeFOSAA	0.14	0.15	0.13

LOD = limit of detection, µg/L = micrograms per liter, NA = not applicable

* LOD does not meet the threshold set in EA protocol for sensitivity analyses (<20%).

More details on precision and clustering for PFAS blood data

As noted in the study protocol, this investigation was designed to estimate mean concentrations of PFAS in blood for the sampling frame population, with a given level of precision. The target sample size for this EA was based on a desired precision of 15% and 5% level of significance. Table B2 presents the estimated precision for the mean of the log transformed (ln) PFAS concentrations measured in serum. This was calculated as the difference between the upper confidence interval of ln(PFAS) and the mean ln(PFAS), divided by mean ln(PFAS). Precision estimates ranged from 10% to 23%. Except for PFHxS and PFOA, these values are all below the desired precision of 15% used to determine the target sample size for this EA. The collected data met the precision target specified in the EA protocol; the precision estimate for PFOS was 11%, which is below the target precision of 15% for the EA. Additional information on target precision is provided in the study protocol.

Note that throughout the main body of the report and Appendix C, geometric means are presented with 95% confidence intervals and regression modeling results are presented with p-values. These statistics provide further insight into the precision of those estimates.

To quantify the effect of clustering and to compare the results of this EA to the assumptions used to determine the target sample size for the EA (listed in the protocol), ATSDR calculated the intra-cluster correlation coefficient (ICC) and design effect for each PFAS that was detected in at least 60% of blood samples (Table B2). ICCs were estimated using variance components from the MIXED procedure in SAS. In brief, a mixed model was run for each PFAS while treating clusters (i.e., households) as a random effect. The ICC was calculated as the ratio of the variance attributable to the random effect (households) divided by the total of the random effect and error variances. The design effect was calculated using the DEFF option in the MODEL statement of the SURVEYREG procedure in SAS. This provides an estimate of the ratio of the actual variance to the variance computed under the assumption of simple random sampling. This information, along with the average number of study participants per house, was then used to calculate the effective sample size for each PFAS. This statistic provides an estimate of the sample size that would be required to achieve the same level of precision if a simple random sample study design was used.

The target sample size for this EA was 395 people, based on (1) an ICC of 0.54 for PFOS calculated from data collected as part of biomonitoring study conducted by the New York State Department of Health and the Pennsylvania Department of Health, (2) a design effect of 2.1, and (3) an effective sample size of 188 people. Refer to the study protocol for more details on how these values were derived.

Table B2. Statistics related to clustering in blood data (all participants)

PFAS	Household ICC (Unitless)	Design Effect (Unitless)	Effective Sample Size (n)	Standard Deviation of ln(PFAS) (µg/L)	Precision of Mean ln(PFAS) (%)
PFHxS	0.47	1.94	45	2.01	17.1
PFOS	0.51	1.73	51	1.58	11.3
n-PFOS	0.54	1.77	50	1.63	13.7
Sm-PFOS	0.43	1.62	54	1.49	17.2
PFOA	0.45	1.54	57	0.832	23.1
n-PFOA	0.45	1.54	57	0.862	25.4
Sb-PFOA	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*
PFNA	0.20	1.25	71	0.704	12.9
PFDA	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*
PFUnA	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*
MeFOSAA	0.58	1.74	51	0.910	9.6

µg/L = micrograms per liter, NA = not applicable

* Per the protocol, geometric means were not calculated for PFAS detected in less than 60% of samples.

Blood ICCs for this EA ranged from 0.20 to 0.58, suggesting weak to moderate correlation. The design effects ranged from 1.25 to 1.94, all of which are lower than the assumed design effect of 2.1. Effective sample size estimates ranged from 45 to 71. For all PFAS, the design effect in this EA is smaller than that assumed in the protocol in part because of a smaller number of people per household. In this EA the average number of people per household was 1.83 (compared to 3.0, assumed in the protocol).

Appendix C: PFAS Blood Levels by Demographics and Exposure Characteristics

This appendix provides geometric mean blood PFAS concentrations and 95% confidence intervals stratified by demographic or exposure characteristics for the five PFAS with detection frequencies above 60% (i.e., PFHxS, PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFDA). Also included are univariate regressions, multivariate regressions, and box and whisker plots. For each regression, the outputs shown are coefficient estimates, p-values, and marginal effects. The coefficient represents the increase in PFAS blood levels (in units of $\log_{10}[\mu\text{g/L}]$) per unit increase of the independent variable shown on the left side of the table for continuous variables, or when comparing to the reference category for categorical variables. The p-value indicates the significance of the results. Generally, p-values less than 0.05 indicate significant results. The marginal effect is the percent change in PFAS blood levels (in units of $\mu\text{g/L}$) per unit increase of the continuous variables, or in comparison to the reference category for categorical variables.

Contents

Table C1. Adult blood PFAS geometric means (GM), 95% lower confidence intervals (LCI), and 95% upper confidence intervals (UCI) in micrograms per liter ^{*,†,‡}	C3
Table C2. Frequency of questionnaire responses for children ^{*,†}	C8
Table C3. Adult univariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME) [*]	C10
Table C4. PFHxS adult multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)	C14
Table C5. PFHxS adult male multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)	C14
Table C6. PFOS adult multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)	C15
Table C7. PFOS adult female multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)	C16
Table C8. PFOS adult male multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)	C17
Table C9. PFOA adult multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)	C17
Table C10. PFOA adult male multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)	C18
Figure C1. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by age	C19
Figure C2. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by sex.....	C19
Figure C3. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by race and ethnicity	C20
Figure C4. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by body mass index	C20
Figure C5. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by years in current home	C21
Figure C6. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by years in sampling frame (past 20 years).....	C21

Figure C7. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by cups of tap water drank at home ...	C22
Figure C8. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by drinking water source.....	C22
Figure C9. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by water filter type.....	C23
Figure C10. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by kidney disease history	C23
Figure C11. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by blood donation frequency.....	C24
Figure C12. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by home cleaning frequency.....	C24
Figure C13. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by stain-resistant product use.....	C25
Figure C14. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by frequency of contact with soil.....	C25
Figure C15. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by local fruit and vegetable consumption	C26
Figure C16. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by local fish consumption.....	C26
Figure C17. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by local milk consumption	C27
Figure C18. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by fast food consumption frequency	C27
Figure C19. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by presence of carpet in home	C28
Figure C20. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by occupational exposure	C28
Figure C21. Boxplot of adult female blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by breastfeeding history	C29
Figure C22. Boxplot of adult female blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by breastfeeding duration.....	C29
Figure C23. Boxplot of adult female blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by biological children variable.....	C30
Figure C24. Boxplot of adult female blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by number of biological children	C30

Table C1. Adult blood PFAS geometric means (GM), 95% lower confidence intervals (LCI), and 95% upper confidence intervals (UCI) in micrograms per liter^{*,†,‡}

Variable	Category	Frequency [§]	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
			GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI
All Adults		79	13.43	9.15	19.70	20.39	14.65	28.38	2.21	1.84	2.65	0.33	0.28	0.39	0.14	0.11	0.16
Age (years)	18 to <50	22	4.92	2.68	9.01	7.47	4.59	12.17	1.42	1.16	1.74	0.22	0.16	0.30	0.12	0.09	0.17
	50+	57	19.80	13.39	29.27	30.03	21.81	41.34	2.61	2.15	3.18	0.39	0.33	0.45	0.14	0.11	0.17
Sex	Female	35	7.88	4.52	13.75	13.26	8.81	19.95	1.99	1.56	2.53	0.28	0.22	0.35	0.12	0.10	0.14
	Male	44	20.52	14.61	28.82	28.71	20.20	40.81	2.40	1.94	2.97	0.38	0.32	0.45	0.15	0.12	0.19
Body mass index (kilograms per square meter)	<25	17	13.47	8.31	21.85	15.08	9.57	23.76	2.10	1.69	2.60	0.28	0.23	0.34	0.13	0.10	0.18
	25 to <30	17	17.63	7.89	39.38	32.92	14.83	73.08	2.41	1.42	4.08	0.45	0.29	0.68	0.18	0.12	0.28
	30 to <35	25	14.08	7.71	25.71	21.08	13.26	33.51	2.22	1.81	2.72	0.31	0.25	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.14
	35+	20	10.02	4.98	20.14	16.82	10.67	26.49	2.13	1.55	2.92	0.33	0.28	0.40	0.13	0.10	0.19
Race and ethnicity combined	White alone, not Hispanic	69	15.39	10.75	22.05	21.41	15.13	30.30	2.23	1.83	2.73	0.33	0.28	0.39	0.13	0.11	0.16
	Not White, or Hispanic	10	5.24	1.27	21.63	14.54	6.30	33.54	2.02	1.38	2.97	0.33	0.23	0.48	0.15	0.10	0.24
Length of residence at current address (years)	<10	16	7.72	3.99	14.95	12.81	7.48	21.94	1.62	1.12	2.35	0.25	0.18	0.34	0.14	0.09	0.20
	10 to <20	33	10.78	6.24	18.63	17.78	10.55	29.95	2.09	1.65	2.63	0.34	0.26	0.44	0.12	0.09	0.16
	20 to <30	13	15.15	5.26	43.65	26.31	12.16	56.95	2.61	1.55	4.40	0.40	0.33	0.49	0.17	0.10	0.28
	30+	17	31.56	21.09	47.23	33.89	21.21	54.14	2.90	2.21	3.79	0.36	0.29	0.44	0.14	0.10	0.20
Total length of residence in sampling frame over the past 20 years (years)	<10	17	5.18	3.07	8.74	8.68	5.50	13.69	1.40	1.02	1.91	0.23	0.16	0.32	0.16	0.10	0.24
	10 to <15	12	4.35	2.06	9.18	7.81	4.56	13.39	1.57	1.26	1.95	0.33	0.25	0.44	0.09	0.08	0.11
	15 to 20	50	24.34	16.30	36.33	34.32	24.71	47.65	2.80	2.31	3.40	0.38	0.32	0.45	0.14	0.11	0.18
Current and primary source of drinking water	Public water system	32	12.58	7.41	21.36	17.76	12.37	25.50	2.14	1.73	2.65	0.29	0.25	0.34	0.11	0.09	0.14
	Private well	30	17.35	9.30	32.38	29.62	16.37	53.59	2.58	1.83	3.64	0.42	0.32	0.55	0.16	0.11	0.22
	Bottled water	17	9.67	4.45	21.00	13.67	6.47	28.87	1.76	1.22	2.56	0.28	0.19	0.42	0.15	0.11	0.22

Variable	Category	Frequency [§]	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
			GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI
Tap water consumption at current home (average cups per day)	0	8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	>0 to <2	6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2 to <4	11	22.44	16.71	30.15	30.57	23.60	39.61	2.71	1.93	3.81	0.37	0.29	0.48	0.12	0.08	0.19
	4 to <6	8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	6 to <8	9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	8+	37	18.29	11.34	29.49	26.59	17.03	41.51	2.62	2.11	3.26	0.34	0.27	0.43	0.14	0.11	0.18
Current use of filter or treatment device for tap water at home	None, no filter or treatment device	18	11.22	6.00	20.97	13.29	8.18	21.58	2.09	1.56	2.82	0.29	0.21	0.40	0.09	0.08	0.11
	None, drink bottled water only	15	9.98	3.51	28.33	20.00	9.52	42.02	1.87	1.27	2.74	0.32	0.25	0.40	0.18	0.12	0.26
	Use at least one filter or treatment device	46	15.88	10.30	24.48	24.26	15.78	37.30	2.38	1.87	3.03	0.35	0.29	0.43	0.14	0.11	0.19
History of kidney disease	No	75	13.02	8.70	19.46	19.95	14.04	28.34	2.21	1.82	2.67	0.33	0.28	0.39	0.14	0.11	0.17
	Yes	3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Frequency of blood donation	Never/rarely	74	13.63	9.12	20.38	20.99	14.89	29.59	2.25	1.86	2.72	0.33	0.28	0.39	0.14	0.11	0.17
	Once or more a year	5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Frequency of house cleaning	A few times per month or less	50	13.51	8.10	22.52	22.52	14.81	34.24	2.32	1.83	2.94	0.36	0.29	0.43	0.15	0.12	0.19
	Three times per week or more	29	13.30	8.31	21.27	17.18	11.02	26.78	2.02	1.60	2.55	0.29	0.23	0.37	0.11	0.09	0.14
Frequency of stain-resistant product use	Never	69	13.02	8.66	19.55	20.95	14.88	29.49	2.19	1.80	2.67	0.34	0.29	0.40	0.14	0.12	0.17
	Rarely or more frequently	10	16.67	8.37	33.20	16.91	8.95	31.96	2.30	1.59	3.32	0.30	0.26	0.34	0.10	0.07	0.14

Variable	Category	Frequency [§]	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
			GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI
Frequency of direct contact with soil at locations within the sampling frame	A few times per year or less	34	10.85	7.13	16.51	15.01	10.09	22.33	1.96	1.55	2.48	0.31	0.26	0.37	0.15	0.12	0.20
	A few times per month	20	17.10	9.37	31.22	22.90	13.30	39.43	2.14	1.56	2.94	0.30	0.23	0.40	0.09	0.08	0.12
	Three times per week or more	25	14.81	7.40	29.63	28.17	17.42	45.55	2.65	2.00	3.50	0.39	0.29	0.52	0.15	0.11	0.21
Consumption of fruits and vegetables from locations within the sampling frame	No	35	13.74	7.92	23.83	20.11	11.90	34.00	2.26	1.65	3.10	0.31	0.24	0.39	0.17	0.12	0.23
	Yes	43	12.95	7.65	21.94	20.58	13.59	31.18	2.15	1.75	2.64	0.36	0.29	0.43	0.12	0.09	0.14
Consumption of local fish (i.e., fish caught within the sampling frame)	No	73	13.90	9.26	20.86	21.45	15.21	30.27	2.27	1.88	2.73	0.34	0.29	0.40	0.13	0.11	0.16
	Yes	6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Frequency of local milk consumption (i.e., milk from animals within the sampling frame)	Yes	77	14.22	9.83	20.57	20.62	14.77	28.78	2.20	1.83	2.65	0.33	0.28	0.39	0.13	0.11	0.16
	No	2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Frequency of fast food consumption	Three times per week or more	9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	A few times per month	46	12.81	7.85	20.90	20.46	13.98	29.95	2.21	1.76	2.76	0.31	0.26	0.36	0.15	0.12	0.19
	A few times per year or less	24	17.04	10.12	28.71	23.02	13.08	40.52	2.39	1.77	3.23	0.41	0.28	0.58	0.11	0.08	0.16

Variable	Category	Frequency [§]	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
			GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI
Presence of carpeting in bedroom, living room, or kitchen	No	17	9.90	3.89	25.15	17.34	9.48	31.72	1.98	1.44	2.74	0.36	0.29	0.45	0.10	0.08	0.14
	Yes	62	14.60	9.63	22.16	21.31	14.52	31.27	2.27	1.83	2.82	0.32	0.27	0.39	0.15	0.12	0.18
Occupational exposures (count of jobs with potential PFAS exposures)	None	56	9.41	5.94	14.91	15.60	10.50	23.18	1.91	1.54	2.38	0.32	0.27	0.39	0.15	0.12	0.19
	One or more	23	31.90	22.65	44.94	39.14	27.90	54.89	3.12	2.42	4.02	0.36	0.28	0.45	0.10	0.08	0.13
Females only																	
Biological children	No	4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Yes	31	9.23	5.09	16.75	15.99	10.79	23.67	2.15	1.68	2.76	0.30	0.24	0.37	0.12	0.10	0.15
Number of biological children	0	4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1	4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2	18	11.65	5.09	26.66	19.85	11.60	33.97	2.24	1.60	3.14	0.34	0.27	0.44	0.12	0.09	0.16
	3+	9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Breastfeeding or previously breastfed children	No	10	11.77	3.82	36.23	16.02	5.56	46.17	2.34	1.32	4.16	0.31	0.19	0.52	0.14	0.09	0.22
	Yes	25	6.71	3.59	12.58	12.29	8.56	17.64	1.86	1.47	2.36	0.27	0.21	0.34	0.11	0.09	0.13
Total duration of breastfeeding for all children (months)	0	10	11.77	3.82	36.23	16.02	5.56	46.17	2.34	1.32	4.16	0.31	0.19	0.52	0.14	0.09	0.22
	>0 to <6	8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	6 to <12	3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12 to <18	3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	18+	11	5.26	2.09	13.28	9.00	5.42	14.96	1.75	1.15	2.64	0.23	0.16	0.33	0.10	0.08	0.14
Private Well Water Data																	
Max PFOS concentration in private well	>0 - <70 ppt	1	—	—	—	NA	NA	NA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	70 - >250 ppt	5	—	—	—	NA	NA	NA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	250 - <500 ppt	19	—	—	—	11.36	6.05	21.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	500 - <1000 ppt	21	—	—	—	17.83	8.37	38.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	1000 - <1500 ppt	16	—	—	—	26.19	14.37	47.72	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	15000+ ppt	14	—	—	—	45.96	27.02	78.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Variable	Category	Frequency [§]	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
			GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI	GM	LCI	UCI
Max PFOA concentration in private well	>0 - <70 ppt	43	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.96	1.59	2.41	—	—	—	—	—	—
	70 - >250 ppt	33	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.58	1.84	3.61	—	—	—	—	—	—
Water Treatment Type	Below HA / No Treatment	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	GAC	32	15.58	7.97	30.45	27.84	15.10	51.33	2.50	1.81	3.45	0.35	0.25	0.48	0.16	0.11	0.24
	Water Delivery	46	12.49	7.84	19.89	16.87	11.71	24.30	2.06	1.66	2.56	0.32	0.28	0.37	0.12	0.10	0.15
Days Since Water Treatment	1000	3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1,000 - <1,300	8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1,300 - <1,600	11	16.82	11.09	25.52	15.76	11.78	21.08	2.32	1.95	2.77	0.29	0.22	0.39	0.11	0.08	0.15
	1,600+	56	12.29	7.47	20.23	20.02	12.99	30.83	2.14	1.68	2.71	0.33	0.27	0.39	0.13	0.11	0.17

* Several variables that were collected in the questionnaire are not included in these tables. These variables may not be included because they did not have sufficient variability or were not associated with PFAS blood concentrations in preliminary analyses. These variables include full-time vs. part-time residence, consumption of local milk, behavior change questions, and occupational history in specific industries.

† Geometric means and confidence levels are not shown for categories with fewer than 10 responses.

* Detection limits for all PFAS are 0.1 micrograms per liter (µg/L).

§ Some frequency counts may not sum to the total because of missing values. Some variable categories that were presented in the questionnaire were collapsed into larger variable categories.

Table C2. Frequency of questionnaire responses for children*[†]

Variable	Category	Frequency[‡]
All Children		9
Age (years)	3 to <12	4
	12 to <18	5
Sex	Female	5
	Male	4
Body mass index (kilograms per square meter)	<15	1
	15 to 20	5
	20 to <25	3
Birth order	First born	3
	Second born	4
	Third born	2
Race and ethnicity combined	White alone, not Hispanic	7
	Not White alone, or Hispanic	2
Water consumption at current home (average cups per day)	0 to <2	2
	2 to <4	1
	4+	6
Water consumption at school (average cups per day)	1 to <2	3
	2 to <3	4
	3+	2
Length of residency in sampling frame (years)	<6	2
	6 to <12	2
	12 to <18	5
Frequency of direct contact with soil at locations within the sampling frame	A few times per year or less	5
	A few times per month	2
	Three times per week or more	2
Drank formula reconstituted with tap water	No	5
	Yes	4

Variable	Category	Frequency †
Duration of drinking formula reconstituted with tap water duration (months)	<7	5
	7 to <13	2
	13 to <19	2
Currently breastfeeding or previously breastfed	No	8
	Yes	1
Breastfeeding duration (months)	<7	5
	7 to <19	2
	19+	2
Max PFOS concentration in private well	>0 - <70 ppt	2
	70 - >250 ppt	0
	250 - <500 ppt	4
	500 - <1000 ppt	2
	1000 - <1500 ppt	0
	1500+ ppt	1
Max PFOA concentration in private well	>0 - <70 ppt	8
	70 - >250 ppt	1
Water Treatment Type	Below HA / No Treatment	2
	GAC	4
	Water Delivery	3

* Several variables that were collected in the questionnaire are not included in these tables. These variables may not be included because they did not have sufficient variability or were not associated with PFAS blood concentrations in preliminary analyses. These variables include full-time vs. part-time residence, consumption of local fruits and vegetables, consumption of local fish, consumption of local milk, school attendance, days since water treatment.

† Geometric means and confidence levels are not shown for children for this EA because fewer than 10 children participated (n=9).

‡ Some frequency counts may not sum to the total because of missing values. Some variable categories that were presented in the questionnaire were collapsed into larger variable categories.

Table C3. Adult univariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)*

Variable	Category	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
		Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Age	NA—continuous variable	0.019	<.001	4.5	0.019	<.001	4.5	0.009	<.001	2.0	0.007	0.002	1.6	0.004	0.076	1.0
Sex	Male	0.416	<.001	160.3	0.336	<.001	116.6	0.082	0.164	20.7	0.130	0.017	34.8	0.110	0.005	28.7
	Female	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Body mass index (kilograms per square meter)	NA—continuous variable	-0.011	0.191	-2.6	-0.005	0.570	-1.1	-0.000	0.945	-0.1	-0.001	0.790	-0.2	0.002	0.747	0.5
Race and ethnicity combined	Not White, or Hispanic	-0.468	0.134	-66.0	-0.168	0.375	-32.1	-0.043	0.641	-9.5	0.001	0.989	0.3	0.066	0.486	16.5
	White alone, not Hispanic	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Length of residence at current address (years)	NA—continuous variable	0.019	0.002	4.4	0.014	0.004	3.3	0.008	0.008	1.8	0.005	0.051	1.2	0.004	0.173	0.9
Total length of residence in sampling frame over the past 20 years (years)	NA—continuous variable	0.050	<.001	12.1	0.043	<.001	10.4	0.023	<.001	5.4	0.013	0.012	3.0	-0.001	0.907	-0.2
Current and primary source of drinking water	Public Water System (Delivered water)	-0.140	0.434	-27.5	-0.222	0.146	-40.0	-0.081	0.360	-16.9	-0.163	0.018	-31.4	-0.155	0.078	-30.0
	Bottled water	-0.254	0.249	-44.3	-0.336	0.111	-53.8	-0.165	0.135	-31.6	-0.177	0.097	-33.4	-0.015	0.888	-3.4
	Private Well	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Variable	Category	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
		Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Tap water consumption at current home (average cups per day)	NA—continuous variable	0.017	0.015	4.1	0.011	0.102	2.5	0.011	0.005	2.5	0.001	0.819	0.2	0.003	0.444	0.6
Current use of filter or treatment device for tap water at home	None, no filter or treatment device	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	None, drink bottled water only	-0.051	0.827	-11.1	0.177	0.313	50.5	-0.050	0.599	-10.9	0.036	0.665	8.8	0.284	0.002	92.4
	Use at least one filter or treatment device	0.151	0.327	41.5	0.261	0.049	82.6	0.055	0.478	13.5	0.084	0.265	21.3	0.189	0.007	54.5
Frequency of house cleaning	Three times per week or more	-0.007	0.961	-1.6	-0.118	0.340	-23.7	-0.060	0.365	-13.0	-0.086	0.182	-18.0	-0.112	0.106	-22.7
Frequency of house cleaning	A few times per month or less	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Frequency of stain-resistant product use	Rarely or more frequently	0.108	0.492	28.1	-0.093	0.505	-19.3	0.020	0.811	4.8	-0.053	0.202	-11.5	-0.146	0.040	-28.6
Frequency of stain-resistant product use	Never	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Frequency of direct contact with soil at locations within the sampling frame	A few times per month	0.198	0.189	57.6	0.183	0.181	52.6	0.038	0.640	9.2	-0.012	0.860	-2.6	-0.212	0.003	-38.6
	Three times per week or more	0.135	0.427	36.5	0.273	0.034	87.7	0.130	0.110	34.9	0.099	0.186	25.5	-0.008	0.927	-1.8
	A few times per year or less	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Variable	Category	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
		Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Consumption of fruits and vegetables from locations within the sampling frame	Yes	-0.025	0.875	-5.7	0.010	0.944	2.3	-0.022	0.784	-5.0	0.065	0.349	16.3	-0.152	0.056	-29.5
	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Frequency of fast food consumption	A few times per month	-0.124	0.375	-24.8	-0.051	0.709	-11.1	-0.035	0.645	-7.8	-0.121	0.178	-24.3	0.110	0.184	28.9
	Three times per week or more	-0.275	0.140	-46.9	-0.201	0.230	-37.1	-0.130	0.223	-25.9	-0.155	0.156	-30.0	0.057	0.514	14.1
	A few times per year or less	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Presence of carpeting in bedroom, living room, or kitchen	Yes	0.169	0.447	47.6	0.090	0.559	22.9	0.059	0.486	14.5	-0.049	0.417	-10.7	0.155	0.051	42.9
	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Occupational exposures (count of jobs with potential PFAS exposures)	One or more occupational exposures	0.530	<.001	238.9	0.400	<.001	150.9	0.213	0.005	63.1	0.043	0.492	10.5	-0.164	0.015	-31.5
	None	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Females only																
Number of biological children	NA—continuous variable	0.023	0.737	5.4	0.030	0.631	7.1	0.062	0.152	15.2	-0.001	0.981	-0.2	-0.006	0.787	-1.4
Breastfeeding or previously breastfed children	Yes	-0.244	0.390	-42.9	-0.115	0.633	-23.3	-0.100	0.462	-20.5	-0.062	0.619	-13.3	-0.106	0.348	-21.7
	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Variable	Category	PFHxS			PFOS			PFOA			PFNA			MeFOSAA		
		Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Total duration of breastfeeding for all biological children (months)	NA—continuous variable	-0.010	0.112	-2.2	-0.008	0.015	-1.8	-0.002	0.292	-0.6	-0.002	0.206	-0.6	-0.002	0.233	-0.6
Private Well Data																
Log ₁₀ of Max PFAS concentration in private well [†]	NA—continuous variable	NA	NA	NA	0.426	0.010	0.426	0.290	0.009	0.290	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Water Treatment Type	GAC	0.096	0.589	24.7	0.218	0.164	65.0	0.083	0.326	21.1	0.039	0.607	9.5	0.133	0.152	35.9
	Below HA / No Treatment	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Water Delivery	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Days Since Water Treatment	NA—continuous variable	-0.000	0.634	-0.0	-0.000	0.611	-0.0	-0.000	0.688	-0.0	-0.000	0.193	-0.0	-0.000	0.791	-0.0

* Not all categorical variables included in Table C1 are included in Table C3: variable categories that had fewer than 10 responses were not included in the regressions (Table C3). These variables include kidney disease history, blood donation frequency, frequency of local fish consumption, frequency of local milk consumption, and biological children (yes/no).

[†] This marginal effect is interpreted as percent increase in blood PFOA level per percent increase in PFOA well water concentration.

Table C4. PFHxS adult multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)

Parameter	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Age (continuous)	0.028	<.0001	6.77
Sex: Male*(categorical)	0.766	0.0057	484
Age x Sex [†] (continuous)	-0.010	0.0314	-2.24
Drinking water consumption in cups per day (continuous)	0.010	0.0325	2.30
Drinking water source: Public water system [‡] (categorical)	-0.242	0.0659	-42.7
Drinking water source: Bottled water [‡] (categorical)	-0.367	0.0276	-57.1
Occupational Exposure: One or more occupational exposures [§] (categorical)	0.448	<.0001	180

Model statistics: R² = 0.488, p-value = <0.0001, n = 79, n-households = 48, intercept = -0.614

* Reference category is adult participants who identified as female.

[†] This is an interaction variable between age and sex.

[‡] Delivered water from the Air Force was recorded as “public water system”. Reference category is adult participants who reported mainly drinking from a private well at home.

[§] Reference category is adult participants who reported no occupational exposures in the past 20 years.

Table C5. PFHxS adult male multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)

Parameter	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Age	0.019	<.0001	4.49
Drinking water source: Public water system* (categorical)	-0.273	0.0065	-46.6
Drinking water source: Bottled water* (categorical)	-0.467	0.0147	-65.9
Occupational Exposure: One or more occupational exposures [†] (categorical)	0.450	<.0001	182

Model statistics: R² = 0.562, p-value = <0.0001, n = 44, n-households = 39, intercept = 0.260

* Delivered water from the Air Force was recorded as “public water system”. Reference category is adult male participants who reported mainly drinking from a private well at home.

[†] Reference category is adult male participants who reported no occupational exposures in the past 20 years.

Table C6. PFOS adult multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)

Parameter	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Age (continuous)	0.030	<.0001	7.25
Sex: Male*(categorical)	0.990	0.001	878
Age x Sex [†] (continuous)	-0.014	0.0058	-3.18
Logarithm of maximum PFOS well concentration (continuous)	0.224	0.0343	0.224 [‡]
Drinking water source: Public water system [§] (categorical)	-0.354	0.0002	-55.7
Drinking water source: Bottled water [§] (categorical)	-0.440	0.0009	-63.7
Occupational Exposure: One or more occupational exposures [¶] (categorical)	0.291	0.0012	95.5
Soil Exposure Frequency: Three times per week or more** (categorical)	0.284	0.0031	92.5
Soil Exposure Frequency: A few times per month** (categorical)	0.299	0.0038	99.2

Model statistics: R² = 0.668 p-value = <0.0001, n = 79, n-households = 48, intercept = -1.133

* Reference category is adult participants who identified as female.

[†] This is an interaction variable between age and sex.

[‡] This marginal effect is interpreted as percent increase in blood PFOS level per percent increase in PFOS well water concentration

[§] Delivered water from the Air Force was recorded as “public water system”. Reference category is adult participants who reported mainly drinking from a private well at home.

[¶] Reference category is adult participants who reported no occupational exposures in the past 20 years.

**Reference category is adult participants who reported soil exposure frequency of a few times per year or less.

Table C7. PFOS adult female multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)

Parameter	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Age (continuous)	0.029	<.0001	6.86
Drinking water source: Public water system* (categorical)	-0.280	0.0284	-47.6
Drinking water source: Bottled water* (categorical)	-0.344	0.0299	-54.7
Soil Exposure Frequency: Three times per week or more† (categorical)	0.310	0.0202	104
Soil Exposure Frequency: A few times per month† (categorical)	0.287	0.0721	93.5
Breastfeeding duration (continuous)	-0.006	0.0155	-1.47

Model statistics: $R^2 = 0.702$, p-value = <0.0001, n = 35, n-households = 33, intercept = -0.363

* Delivered water from the Air Force was recorded as “public water system”. Reference category is adult female participants who reported mainly drinking from a private well at home.

† Reference category is adult participants who reported soil exposure frequency of a few times per year or less.

Table C8. PFOS adult male multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)

Parameter	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Age (continuous)	0.018	<.0001	4.19
Logarithm of maximum PFOS well concentration (continuous)	0.379	0.0343	0.379*
Drinking water source: Public water system (categorical) [†]	-0.385	0.0025	-58.8
Drinking water source: Bottled water (categorical) [†]	-0.566	0.0278	-72.8
Occupational Exposure: One or more occupational exposures [‡] (categorical)	0.279	0.0088	89.9
Soil Exposure Frequency: Three times per week or more [§] (categorical)	0.329	0.0493	113
Soil Exposure Frequency: A few times per month [§] (categorical)	0.270	0.0543	86.4

Model statistics: $R^2 = 0.697$, p-value = <0.0001, n = 42, n-households = 37, intercept = -0.627

- * This marginal effect is interpreted as percent increase in blood PFOS level per percent increase in PFOS well water concentration.
- † Delivered water from the Air Force was recorded as “public water system”. Reference category is adult female participants who reported mainly drinking from a private well at home.
- ‡ Reference category is adult male participants who reported no occupational exposures in the past 20 years.
- § Reference category is adult participants who reported soil exposure frequency of a few times per year or less.

Table C9. PFOA adult multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)

Parameter	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Age (continuous)	0.009	<.0001	2.20
Logarithm of maximum PFOA well concentration (continuous)*	0.265	0.0034	0.265*
Drinking water consumption in cups per day (continuous)	0.010	<.0001	2.31
Occupational Exposure: One or more occupational exposures [†] (categorical)	0.200	0.0007	58.4

Model statistics: $R^2 = 0.476$ p-value = <0.0001, n = 76, n-households = 46, intercept = -0.776

- * This marginal effect is interpreted as percent increase in blood PFOA level per percent increase in PFOA well water concentration.
- † Reference category is adult participants who reported no occupational exposures in the past 20 years.

Table C10. PFOA adult male multivariate regression results including coefficient estimate (Coef.), p-value (p-val), and marginal effect (ME)

Parameter	Coef.	p-val	ME (%)
Age	0.010	<.0001	2.27
Logarithm of maximum PFOA well concentration (continuous)	0.377	0.0002	0.377*
Drinking water consumption in cups per day (continuous)	0.009	<.0001	2.19
Occupational Exposure: One or more occupational exposures [†] (categorical)	0.184	0.0058	52.8

Model statistics: R² = 0.625 p-value = <0.0001, n = 42, n-households = 37, intercept = -0.993

* This marginal effect is interpreted as percent increase in blood PFOA level per percent increase in PFOA well water concentration.

[†] Reference category is adult male participants who reported no occupational exposures in the past 20 years.

Box and Whisker Plots (or Boxplots)

Figure C1. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by age




Figure C2. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by sex




Figure C3. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by race and ethnicity




Figure C4. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by body mass index




Figure C5. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by years in current home




Figure C6. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by years in sampling frame (past 20 years)




Figure C7. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by cups of tap water drank at home




Figure C8. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by drinking water source




Figure C9. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by water filter type




Figure C10. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by kidney disease history




Figure C11. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by blood donation frequency




Figure C12. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by home cleaning frequency




Figure C13. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by stain-resistant product use




Figure C14. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by frequency of contact with soil




Figure C15. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by local fruit and vegetable consumption




Figure C16. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by local fish consumption




Figure C17. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by local milk consumption




Figure C18. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by fast food consumption frequency




Figure C19. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by presence of carpet in home




Figure C20. Boxplot of adult blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by occupational exposure




Figure C21. Boxplot of adult female blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by breastfeeding history




Figure C22. Boxplot of adult female blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by breastfeeding duration




Figure C23. Boxplot of adult female blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by biological children variable




Figure C24. Boxplot of adult female blood (serum) PFAS concentrations by number of biological children

